Evaluation of the YSR as a Screening Tool for Depression in a Population of Adolescents
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Abstract: Objectives To detect the prevalence of depression in adolescents with the Youth Self-Report for Ages 11-18 (YSR) and the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI). To assess the sensitivity and specificity of the YSR, and determine using a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve the best cutoff point in the YSR that identifies patients with depression, using the BDI as a gold standard. Methods Cross-sectional study, which YSR and BDI questionnaires were completed by 206 adolescents attending 26 public schools in Cascavel, Brazil. The participants responded the survey after signed consent was obtained from the parents. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee at Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná. Results 206 adolescents (132 girls) completed the questionnaires. Mean age: 13 years. Mean BDI score: 13.5. The BDI showed high specificity with Activities and Social, and Rule-Breaking Behavior, and high sensitivity with the Anxious/Depressed, Internalizing Problems, and Total Problems. There was a significant association of the BDI with the girls and YSR items 18 and 91, and of the Anxious/Depressed with item 91. Conclusion Scales assessing depressive symptoms are helpful in the evaluation of adolescents. The mean BDI score was high in this cohort, with a significant association of depression with girls.
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Introduction

Depression is a highly prevalent disorder and a serious public health problem [1]. According to estimates, in the year 2020 depression will be the second cause of disability worldwide and the most frequent disease in developing countries [2-4]. It can be considered one of the main disorders of our time.

Until 1960, when research on depression in childhood and adolescence was just starting, mood disorders were understood as a rare condition in this age group. Although there were reports of depressive symptoms in children and young individuals even before 1960 (Freud, Klein), the National Institute of Mental Health of the United States only accepted the occurrence of depression in children and adolescents in 1975 [2, 5]. The annual prevalence of depression ranges from 3.3% to 17%, and its occurrence is more frequent in females. The prevalence of depression at any point in life reaches approximately 20%, with recurrence rates of 60 to 70% [3, 6]. Depression is common in adolescents and increases rapidly after puberty, reaching prevalence rates similar to those in adults, and showing high morbidity and mortality rates [1, 2, 5, 7, 8].

Although there are several tools available to diagnose depression, there are few epidemiological studies in Brazil about depression in adolescence, and the disease is often not diagnosed or treated [5,7]. Even with limited data in Brazil with different applied methodologies, there is a significant percentage of affective disorders in
adolescence, with data comparable with those in the international literature [2, 5]. The use of ratting scales in clinical and epidemiological research is broad, so it is important to assess the validity of these scales using a standard clinical cutoff for particular groups [9]. With the discovery of effective antidepressant drugs and development of cognitive behavioral therapy during the second half of the last century, several standardized scales to assess depression and the efficacy of its therapies have been proposed and are currently widely used.

The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) is one such scale of easy implementation, with excellent psychometric properties for clinical and non-clinical populations, and valid for measurement the intensity of the depression [7, 9, 14]. Pediatricians should become familiar with symptoms of depression in adolescence since referral and early treatment decrease psychic distress and improve prognosis.

This study aimed at evaluating the prevalence of depression in a population of adolescent students of elementary II and secondary schools with application of the Youth Self-Report for Ages 11-18 (YSR) and BDI, evaluate the accuracy of the YSR as a screening instrument for depression in adolescents, comparing the results with those obtained with the BDI, evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of the YSR, and determine using a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve the best cutoff point in the YSR that identifies patients with depression, using the BDI as a gold standard.

Methods
Population
This study is part of an initial project in which the YSR was completed by 3518 adolescents. Of these, 206 presented a score ≥ 70 in the YSR Anxious/Depressed (AD) scale and were then included in this analysis. This was a cross-sectional and epidemiological study conducted from March 2011 to November 2013 and the participants were a representative sample of students enrolled in 26 elementary (grades 6 to 9) and secondary (grades 1 to 4) public schools in the city of Cascavel (Paraná). The invitation to participate in the study was delivered to the students in the classrooms after explanation of the objectives of the research and clarification when needed.

A cell phone number was included in the Informed Consent Form (ICF) to answer questions by parents or guardians.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria
We included adolescents between the ages of 11 and 18 years of both genders and enrolled in the schools participating in the study. All adolescents included in the study demonstrated an interest in participating voluntarily and delivered an ICF signed by them and by their parents or guardians on the day of the YSR application. We excluded from the study those students who missed school on the day of the YSR application, those whose parents did not authorize the participation (by not signing the ICF), students who refused to participate even with parental consent, and those who had their questionnaires canceled for lack of identification or response to one or more YSR item.

Evaluation Instrument
The initial assessment consisted on completion of the YSR, which is an instrument used for tracking psychiatric syndromes. The YSR consists of two parts. The first part includes information on gender, age, school level, and race, in addition to evaluation of Social and Activities Competences (e.g., involvement and performance in sports, games, hobbies, jobs, daily chores, participation in youth groups, performance in school subjects, personal relationship, etc.). The score increases according to the performance in the social competence evaluated.

In this first part, the scores are divided as follows: (A) Activities: normal (>33), borderline (30 to 33), and clinical (< 30); (B) Social Competence: normal (>33), borderline (30 to 33), and clinical (< 30); and (C) Total Competence: normal (>40), borderline (37 to 40), and clinical (<37). The second part of the YSR evaluates the occurrence of emotional and behavioral problems and consists of 112 questions to which the respondent assigns a score of 0 (not true), 1 (somewhat or sometimes true), or 2 (very true or often true).

The responses to each item on the questionnaire are then entered into the
software Assessment Data Manager® (ADM) and analyzed according to age and gender, resulting in a total score. The YSR score is divided into three categories: normal (up to 67), borderline (from 67 to 70), and clinical (above 70). Participants with a clinical score are categorized into one of the following psychiatric syndromes: Withdrawn (W), Somatic Complaints (SC), A/D, Social Problems (SP), Thought Problems (TP), Attention Problems (AP), Rule-Breaking Behavior (RBB), and Aggressive Behavior (AB).

Internalizing Problems (IP) consist of the sum of the psychiatric syndromes W, SC, and A/D, whereas the Externalizing Problems (EP) item considers the sum of the psychiatric syndromes RBB and AB. The last item is named Total Problems and has scores divided into normal (< 60), borderline (60 to 63), and clinical (≥63). The time to complete the questionnaire ranges from 50 to 90 minutes.

The first author was responsible for obtaining the questionnaire from the participants and was available for questions by the students during the application. The YSR was adapted and translated into Portuguese by Bordin et al. in 1995 [6, 7, 15]. It is an easily understandable, valid, and reliable screening tool for adolescents aged 11 to 18 years and considered a gold standard for screening mental disorders during adolescence. Adolescents who scored ≥ 70 in the YSR A/D scale, or received a score of 2 in the YSR items 18 (“I deliberately try to hurt or kill myself”) and/or 91 (“I think about killing myself”) underwent a second evaluation on a different date from that of the YSR application.

In this second evaluation, the main investigator obtained the clinical history of each participant individually, assessing the presence of depression criteria according to the International Classification of Diseases, family history of depression (father, mother, and siblings), and use of illicit drugs (marijuana, cocaine and opiates, hallucinogens, solvents, anti-anxiety drugs, and amphetamines/stimulants)[16,17]. The main investigator also applied a version of the BDI adapted and translated into Portuguese by Cunha [18]. The BDI is a self-report scale to measure the severity of depression, comprised of 21 questions, each with four possible answers reflecting increasing degrees of depression severity, with scores ranging from 0 (zero) to 3 (three). The score levels for the Portuguese version are distributed as follows: normal (0 to 11), mild (12 to 19), moderate (20 to 35), and severe (36 to 63). The total score ranges from 0 to 63 and is obtained by the sum of the highest score of each item. To assess the depressive disorder, we consider 20 as a cutoff value, with scores ≥ 20 characterizing moderate/severe scores.

In addition to the symptoms of depression, we assessed the variables gender, race, degree of education, and age. Adolescence was strategically divided according to age into early (10 to 13 years), middle (14 to 16 years), and late (17 to 20 years) adolescence. For improved statistical accuracy, we considered age in months and not in years [19]. The principal investigator was trained and mentored by a psychologist on the implementation of the BDI, which was applied individually to each participant.

Statistical Analysis

The database was organized in a Microsoft Excel® 2012 spreadsheet. Quantitative variables were represented as mean, median, minimum, maximum, and standard deviation values. For qualitative variables, we used frequencies and percentages. To assess the association between qualitative variables, we used the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test. The homogeneity of the association between gender and YSR score in different adolescence groups was evaluated with the Mantel-Haenszel test.

To compare quantitative variables between two groups, we used Student’s t-test for independent samples. The cutoff points for YSR were set from adjusted ROC curves, considering the BDI classifications normal/mild or moderate/severe. The data were analyzed with the software IBM SPSS Statistics®, version 20. P values < 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

Ethics Committee

The study was submitted to and approved by the Research Ethics Committee at Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná de Cascavel-Paraná under number 004/2011-CEP, protocol CR number 955/2010 of February 24, 2011. The adopted procedures followed the recommendations of Law number 196/96 of the Brazilian National Health Council.
All participants agreed verbally to participate in the study and submitted an ICF, which was signed by the participants themselves and by their parents or guardians.

**Results**

In total, 206 BDI questionnaires were completed by 74 boys and 132 girls. Using 20 as a cutoff value for the score, 56 (27.1%) students presented a moderate/severe score (≥ 20), of whom 11 (5.3%) were male and 45 (21.8%) were female. The BDI score ranged from zero to 33 (mean 9.51) in males and from zero to 48 (mean 15.8) in females, with a general average score of 13.5. The youngest age was 11 years (132 months), and the oldest age was 18 years (204 months) in both genders, but the average age was lower in males (152.5 versus 161.4 months). Table 1 shows the number of participants and their demographic variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescence</td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>African Brazilian</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Native</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Correlation between BDI and YSR items (p value, sensitivity, and specificity)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YSR item</th>
<th>BDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal or Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or Borderline</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or Borderline</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or Borderline</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or Borderline</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatic Complaints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or Borderline</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxious/Depressed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or Borderline</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 shows that the correlations of the BDI versus Activities Competence, BDI versus Social Competence, and BDI versus RBB showed high specificity and low sensitivity, but no significant differences were observed. In contrast, BDI versus A/D, BDI versus IP, and BDI versus Total Problems showed high sensitivity and low specificity, with the latter correlation showing a 100% sensitivity and p < 0.002.

Table 3: Correlation between the BDI and gender, education level, adolescence phase, and race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BDI</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or Mild</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>86.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate or Severe</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Correlation of Problems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problems</th>
<th>Normal or Borderline</th>
<th>Clinical</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or Borderline</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>64.2%</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thought Problems</td>
<td>Normal or Mild</td>
<td>Moderate or Severe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or Borderline</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>74.8%</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention Problems</td>
<td>Normal or Mild</td>
<td>Moderate or Severe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or Borderline</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>72.8%</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule-Breaking Behavior Problems</td>
<td>Normal or Mild</td>
<td>Moderate or Severe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or Borderline</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>88.1%</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressive Behavior</td>
<td>Normal or Mild</td>
<td>Moderate or Severe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or Borderline</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>62.9%</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internalizing Problems</td>
<td>Normal or Mild</td>
<td>Moderate or Severe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or Borderline</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>97.4%</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Externalizing Problems</td>
<td>Normal or Mild</td>
<td>Moderate or Severe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or Borderline</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>34.4%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Problems</td>
<td>Normal or Mild</td>
<td>Moderate or Severe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or Borderline</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>91.4%</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSR</td>
<td>Normal or Mild</td>
<td>Moderate or Severe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 78.5</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>67.5% (specificity)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 78.5</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>32.5% (sensitivity)</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or mild</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>72.1%</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate or Severe</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BDI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adolescence Phase</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or mild</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate or Severe</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Middle           |         |
| n                 | n       |
| Normal or mild    | 61      | 29       |
| Moderate or Severe| 19     | 9        |
| Total             | 80      |          |

| Late              |         |
| n                 | n       |
| Normal or mild    | 4       | 4        |
| Moderate or Severe| 0      | 0        |
| Total             | 4       |          |

Table 3 shows the correlation of the BDI with gender, education level, adolescence phase, and race. The correlation of the BDI with female gender showed statistical significance (p = 0.002), but no significant differences were observed in the other variables analyzed (BDI with education level, adolescence, and race).

Table 4: Correlation between items 18 and 91 with the BDI, education, family history of depression, use of drugs, gender, YSR Anxious/Depressed scale, adolescence, age, and ethnicity. *YSR items 18 and 91

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 18*</th>
<th>BDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal or Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 or 1</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P value: 0.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Item 91*          | Normal or Mild           | Moderate or Severe |
|-------------------|--------------------------|
|                   | n                        | n       |
| 0 or 1            | 102                      | 23      |
|                   | 67.5%                    | 41.8%   |
|                   | P value: <0.001          | Sensitivity: 58.2% | Specificity: 67.5% |
| 2                 | 49                       | 32      |
|                   | 32.5%                    | 58.2%   |
| Total             | 151                      | 55      |
|                   | 100%                     | 100%    |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 18*</th>
<th>Education Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 or 1</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P value: 0.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Item 91*
#### Education Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Elementary</th>
<th>Secondary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 or 1</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0.743

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 or 1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>46.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>53.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0.041

### Item 18*
#### Family History of Depression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 or 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0.343

### Item 18*
#### Use of Illicit Drugs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 or 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0.310

### Item 91*
#### Use of Illicit Drugs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 or 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0.647

### Item 18*
#### Gender (male and female)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 or 1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0.462
### Item 91*  
**Gender (male and female)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 or 1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>63.5%</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>36.5%</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-value: 0.555

### Item 18*  
**Anxious/Depressed score**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normal or Borderline</th>
<th>Clinical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 or 1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>Specificity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Item 91*  
**Anxious/Depressed score**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normal or Borderline</th>
<th>Clinical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 or 1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>Specificity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>63.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Item 18*  
**Adolescence Phase**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Early</th>
<th>Middle</th>
<th>Late</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 or 1</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>59.8%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-value: 0.627

### Item 91*  
**Adolescence Phase**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Early</th>
<th>Middle</th>
<th>Late</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 or 1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>61.5%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-value: 0.887

### Item 18*  
**Age**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Marcos Antonio da Silva Cristovam et. al. | July 2019 | Vol.7 | Issue 07 | 01-14
Table 4 presents a correlation of the YSR items 18 and 91 with the BDI, education, family history of depression, drug use, gender, and score in the YSR A/D item, adolescence phase, age, and race. We observed a low sensitivity and specificity in the correlations of items 18 and 91 with the BDI, with statistical significance (p < 0.001) in the correlation of item 91 with the BDI. There was a positive correlation between the YSR item 18 with family history of depression (p = 0.041) and between item 91 and the YSR A/D item (p = 0.008), which showed a high specificity.

Table 5: Correlations between the YSR scale Anxious/Depressed with race, adolescence, family history of depression, and use of illicit drugs. *(N: normal; B: borderline; Clinical)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/D</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>78.3%</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>76.3%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>African Brazilian</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Native</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A/B</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/D</th>
<th>Adolescence Phase</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>77.9%</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N/B</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A/D</th>
<th>Family History of Depression</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Correlations between the YSR scale Anxious/Depressed with race, adolescence, family history of depression, and use of illicit drugs. *(N: normal; B: borderline; Clinical)
In Table 5, we evaluated the correlation of the YSR A/D scale with race, adolescence, family history of depression, and use of illicit drugs, and observed no association between these variables.

To determine a cutoff point for the YSR associated with BDI, we adjusted a ROC curve for the YSR considering the BDI as a gold standard in two classifications, normal/mild or moderate/severe (Figure 1). The area under the curve was 0.646 with statistical significance ($p = 0.001$) indicating that the YSR is a good discriminator between normal/mild BDI and moderate/severe BDI. The cutoff point for YSR that maximizes the product of sensitivity and specificity is 78.5. For this cutoff point, the probability of true positivity (sensitivity) is 60%, indicating the proportion of individuals with YSR > 78.5, given that the BDI score is moderate/severe. The probability of false positivity (the proportion of those with score > 78.5 who are classified as normal/mild) is 32.5%. The probability with YSR ≤ 78.5 considering an individual with normal/mild BDI (specificity) is 67.5%, and the probability of a false-negative result (probability of an individual presenting YSR > 78.5 when having moderate/severe BDI) is 40%.

### Table 6: Cutoff point of the YSR and BDI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YSR</th>
<th>BDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal/Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 78.5</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67.5% (specificity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 78.5</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32.5% (false negativity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 presents the results of the intersection of the YSR with this cutoff point and the BDI.
Discussion

Depression in adolescents is considered a priority problem by the World Health Organization (WHO) due to its increased prevalence and high rates of recurrence and complications. Despite controversies in the literature regarding how to measure depression during adolescence, due to the characteristic emotional volatility of this phase, depressed adolescents present symptoms similar to those of depressed adults. At this stage, the intensity of feelings in response to simple events, such as poor performance in a test or lack of invitation to a party, makes it difficult sometimes to differentiate a normal sadness characteristic of adolescence from a major depression [4,7,14,20].

When left untreated, depression is associated with a variety of physical and psychosocial impairments, such as absence from school, pregnancy, drug use, psychiatric comorbidities, increased risk of suicide, and progression of the disorder into adulthood [7,14]. There are currently no studies in Brazil correlating the YSR and BDI in non-clinical adolescents. This study tried to fill this void in the literature by evaluating the psychometric properties of the YSR, correlating the YSR with the BDI, and administering the BDI to 206 adolescents enrolled in elementary and secondary schools in the city of Cascavel (Paraná) who underwent previous evaluation with the YSR.

Many cases of major depression begin during adolescence and maintain a strong relationship with the scores of rating scales [7,21]. It is thus important to understand the correlations of depressive symptoms with the various rating scales currently available, which can help establish an early diagnosis of depression. Few studies have included in their cohorts adolescents who had not received any type of treatment for mental disorders. The validity of the BDI as a depression measurement is already a consensus among clinicians.

The BDI is a good tool to discriminate depressed and non-depressed adolescents, which shows that the BDI items are in accordance with the nine DSM depression criteria, whereas the YSR presents questions related to these nine criteria [14]. The mean score of the BDI in our study was higher than that reported in the literature [9,11,22], but well below the score found in patients diagnosed with major depression (≥ 25.6) [12,23]. The percentage of adolescents with moderate/severe depressive symptoms according to the BDI was close to that found by Teri [25], and above both the percentage found in international studies using the same methodology as ours [22,26], as well as Brazilian studies using other methodologies [5,8].

We noticed a high specificity in the correlations between the BDI and the YSR items Social Competence, Activities Competence, and RBB. Both the YSR and the BDI are broad mental health indicators and a high score in these scales may [12,24] or may not [9] be also explained by social problems. It is important to remember that the study was conducted in public schools and involved suburban neighborhoods, where social problems are more exacerbated. We noticed a high sensitivity in the correlations of BDI with AD, IP, and Total Problems, with statistical significance for BDI vs. Total Problems.

This increased sensitivity for the YSR items A/D and IP makes the YSR an appropriate screening method for evaluation of depression. Although this result was probably expected due to the common comorbidity of anxiety and depression, it could also be attributed to the common features of the compared instruments. In addition, the YSR item IP is characterized by the items W, SC, and A/D, reflecting internal conflicts and stress [12]. Considering sensitivity as an instrument's ability to recognize the true positive among all the patients, in screenings of probable cases of major depression, sensitivity should be regarded as the most important indicator in minimizing the chance of false negatives [12].

When we correlate the BDI with gender, the female gender showed a positive correlation and the value was slightly above the threshold recommended by Beck for "mild depression" (13/14), which in the male gender was classified as "normal". Several studies like ours have shown that the female gender is a predictor of depression and that depression is twice as frequent in girls around the age of 14 years when compared with boys at the same age.
However, epidemiological studies on depression in adolescence have shown discrepancies regarding its frequency and different rates according to age and gender [5, 8, 9, 27]. These differences could be explained by different methodologies, but are also influenced by cultural differences, fears, needs, desires, and different score cutoffs. For example, a subject categorized as depressed in one study may not receive the same categorization in another study.

In addition, the BDI should not be used as the only tool to diagnose a major depressive episode, but rather, to detect depressive symptoms and monitor the effectiveness of the treatment [10, 11, 14]. In this study there was a significant correlation with a high specificity on the YSR item 91 (“I think about killing myself”) with the A/D score, and the BDI with the YSR item 91, showing a convergent validity of these two tools in the evaluation of suicidal thoughts, although with low sensitivity and specificity in this last correlation.

The presence of symptoms of depression is very concerning since they are predictors of suicidal ideation. There is a strong correlation between depression severity and suicidal behavior [21, 28]. To think repetitively about death is a sign that life is causing displeasure generally so intense that the only way to relieve it is to die. The attraction to thoughts of suicide or death is almost a constant in the constellation of depressive symptoms [4]. It is noteworthy that items 18 and 91 alone cannot be regarded as pathological since they should always be considered in combination. However, items such as these that signal towards self-destruction may require special attention from clinicians and researchers.

In Brazil, the pediatrician is often the only professional with whom the adolescent has a chance to talk about emotional problems, including depression. Therefore, the role and obligation of the pediatrician to identify depressive conditions and suicidal ideation becomes indispensable. In addition, there is a lack of specialized mental health services to care for these adolescents. The YSR item 18 correlated positively with family history of depression. Since family members with a history of suicide usually exhibit depressive symptoms, pediatricians caring for adolescents must question them during routine appointments about family history of depression and suicide, regardless of the reason for the appointment. Studies suggest that there is a high rate of relatives with psychiatric disorders among depressive children and that children of depressive parents are at risk for a variety of psychiatric disorders, including depressive conditions [24, 28]. The early onset of depression is associated with an increased familial genetic load. Depression that emerges before the age of 20 years is strongly associated with a familial load. Children of parents who presented a depressive disorder before the age of 20 years have a 14-fold greater risk of developing major depression before the age of 15 years when compared with controls [29].

The area under the ROC curve (0.646) indicated that the YSR discriminates well normal/mild from moderate/severe BDI cases, showing a high correlation between the YSR and BDI. This study indicates that the cutoff of 78.5 for the YSR can detect up to 60% of the adolescents with a possible depressive disorder in screening studies, showing that the YSR can be an effective screening tool for depressed adolescents. It is worth mentioning that the YSR is not a diagnostic instrument but a screening tool and should be used with caution, since depending on the adopted cutoff point, more adolescents will present symptoms of depression whereas others will not be detected (67.5%) or will be considered as false positives (32.5%).

Moreover, the effectiveness of a scale in differentiating the two diagnostic groups depends not only on sensitivity and specificity, but also on the prevalence of the disease studied in the cohort, the adopted cutoff value, and cultural and personality differences of each participant of the study [7,12]. In this regard, we point out that the study was conducted with nonclinical adolescents. This study has some limitations:

The fact that most participants were younger than 14 years could have undermined their answers to the BDI; not all adolescents had the diagnosis of major depression validated; the study was conducted in a medium-sized municipality, which does not allow generalization of the results; adolescents who were not regularly enrolled in school were not included in the study; the application of a questionnaire may underestimate or overestimate the symptoms, mainly in
adolescence; and the low participation rate of individuals of certain races suggests the need for future studies to determine the prevalence of depression in these races. Despite these limitations, this is the first Brazilian study to correlate the YSR and the BDI in a sample of adolescents of elementary and secondary school. The use of rating scales to identify patients for detailed evaluation has been advocated to improve the search for patients with depression through screening programs, but the detection rates, treatment, and prognosis are still controversial [9,14].

Instruments to measure depression must have reliable psychometric features and demonstrate good reliability, validity, and sensitivity. The BDI covers all DSM-IV criteria for major depression and remains a reliable indicator of the severity of the depressive symptoms; their ability and validity as an instrument for study research are already well established [10]. The BDI is a good option from a cost-benefit perspective, showing good correlation with the clinical evaluation and requiring little time for completion [9, 12].

**Conclusion**

The depression scores of the adolescents were high when compared with scores reported in the literature, with a predominance of higher scores in the female gender. The YSR and BDI were useful tools to screen depression in adolescents. Pediatricians who care for adolescents should be prepared for a preliminary assessment of mental disorders, depression, and suicidal ideation in adolescence since early diagnosis reduces mental suffering in youths and can prevent suicide. In addition, the lack of mental health services impairs the assessment of these adolescents by psychiatrists, and the pediatrician is often the only health professional with whom the youth has contact. Removing stressful factors, especially from girls, would decrease the percentage of depressed but would require an increased provision of mental health services. Finally, this study may be helpful for planning and developing new strategies for evaluation, treatment, and prevention of depression and suicide in adolescents in a regional and even national context.
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